Q1 Do you feel Gearhart is in need of a new fire/police station?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	74.57%	217
No	20.62%	60
(blank)	4.81%	14
Total Respondents: 291		



Q2 If you voted no on the May bond issue, please circle why.

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Cost	6.23% 5.50% 16
Location	6.23% 5.50% 16
Too Exorbitant	^{12.84%} 11.34% 33
(blank)	43.97% 38.83% 113
All Three	30.74% 27.15% 79
TOTAL	257
4	

TOTAL 257 + SKIPPED 34 = 291 Total Respondents

Some respondents chose different combinations of the answer choices. These were marked and entered as Other Combination.

The "34 skipped" represent these Other Combination responses. The percentages based off 291 total respondents are as follows:

-Cost & Location - (11) - 3.78%

-Location & Too Exorbitant – (8) – 2.75%

-Cost & Too Exorbitant - (11) - 3.78%

-Other Comment – (4) – 1.37%

True percentages out of the 291 are also noted above.

Q3 Would you support a bond for a fire/police station downtown?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.60%	188
No	22.34%	65
(blank)	13.06%	38

Total Respondents: 291

Q4 Would you support a bond for a fire/police station AND new city hall?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	40.89%	119
No	42.96%	125
(blank)	16.15%	47
Total Respondents: 291		



Q5 Do you plan to vote this November?

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	86.25%	251
No	7.56%	22
(blank)	6.19%	18
Total Respondents: 291		



Q6 Would you participate in a Town Hall Meeting?

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	55.33%	161
No	29.90%	87
(blank)	14.78%	43

Total Respondents: 291

Q7 Do you feel the city does an adequate job of informing the community?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	50.86%	148
No	36.77%	107
(blank)	12.37%	36

Total Respondents: 291

Q8 Placeholder for handwritten comments

Answered: 185 (blank): 106

COMMENT TRENDS

	RESPONSES	
Elevation Important / no THOZ location	7.57%	14
Fire Only – no police/city hall	4.32%	8
H2o Bonds – payoff first	1.08%	2
Merge w/ Seaside	1.08%	2
Non-Resident-N/A – can't vote	13.51%	25
Park – preferred location	4.32%	8
Upgrade/Rehab Current Location	5.41%	10
Voted Yes	9.19%	17
Other / Mix	53.51%	99
Total Respondents: 185		



(raw) Comment Data per Survey

- 4. Not sure. I feel the current bldg could be updated.7. At times, I do realize it's all online. Some residents aren't used to that way of thinking.
- #22. The location is too far from the center of town. Hertig Station is only a few miles farther.7. We get information, but not input.
- #3
 1. Possibly, depends on location and cost. 2. Could not vote. 4. If ALL housed together. 5. Can't vote on local issues non-full-time resident (for now). 6. Depends on when. 7. Thank you!
- #47. NOTE: This is the wrong question. The correct question is... Does City government do an adequate job of listening to Gearhart residents & accepting the will of the people?
- #5 2. (crossed out entirely) 3. Maybe.
- #6 1. Unclear there has been no needs assessment study for city. 2. *Location Response time is critical! 4. IF located on Pacific Way @ current location only.
- #7 2. Not a resident own a Gearhart business. 5. Not a resident.
- #8 7.?
- #9 3. ? How about on 101? [circled "fire" only on every question indicating no support for police included in new station]
- #10 5. Not in Clatsop County. 7. ? A qualified yes is if Chief Bowman's blogs, which often can be interpreted as defamatory, put COG at risk of litigation. There are many in the community with deep pockets whom it is advisable to tread carefully with.
- #11 4. In downtown current location. 6. Maybe.
- #12 1. & 3. Fire station only. 7. City must provide documentation of gun threat.
- #13 3. Maybe
- #14 2. Too far out North w/ station nearby.
- #15 2. We are in Highlands , don't get to vote! 3. & 4. Maybe but see above. 5. But see above. 7. No opinion.
- #16 3. Maybe 6. Maybe
- #17 1. H*** NO! 7. H*** NO. JOKE?
- #18 6. or Maybe?
- #19 3. & 4. Does this mean same location? (NO WAY) High ground up by the Golf Course!!!
- #20 1. Don't know we defer to the judgement of the City Council. 5. We're not registered voters.
- #21 2. Can't vote because I own a vacation home. 5. Can't. 6. & 7. ?
- #222. We can't vote as Gearhart isn't our primary residence. But if we did. 4. Not sure, leaning no unless a need makes sense. 5. CAN'T, see above.
- #23 4. or Maybe
- #24 The scale of what was proposed was ill fated from the start. Use this as an opportunity to make the existing facility more aesthetically aligned with our community. 3. If it were limited to modest expansion of existing facilities. 6. Perhaps.
- #25 7. H*** NO!
- #26 4. But not at the same time.
- #27 5. Yes & No ???
- #28 3. After 1st water bond's retired. 4. After the water bonds are retired.
- #29 3. If reasonable. 4. Depends on costs, etc.

1. Absolutely! Please thank the volunteers for serving and this is no reflection of how I value them. 2. It's difficult to add cost to the residents at a time of covid recovery, recession, inflation, and uncertainty. (Location) Can't it be retrofit closer to town? 3. If it had transparency. 4. Not sure - need to understand more. 7. No - the city is not being transparent and the fighting and lack of civility leads to lack of trust on both sides. It was very difficult to weed through the truth this election and therefore had no where to go but to vote "No".

- #31 2. What is the difference? 3. Depends on options.
- #32 *He needs to reserve public comment on annexation/development plans.
- #33 4. Not sure. Maybe.
- #34 Not in city limits. 2. Not able to vote. 5. Can't, would if I could.
- #35 4. Maybe
- #36 3. At a reasonable cost like 5,000,000. 4. Yes fire no police & city hall. 7. Without open council meetings, you appear to be making decisions without public input.
- #37 3. & 4. Not until water bond/bonds paid in full.
- #38 1.?

#30

- #39 7. SOMETIMES
- #40 2. I voted yes.
- #41 3. We should invest in downtown!
- #42 Thanks to Chad Sweet who is an outstanding City Manager and Leader.
- #43 2. Not my residence. 3. & 4. Depending on the amount.
- #44
 1. I would like to see a proposal for a fire/emergency facility more focused, modest than last proposal.
 2. Not a Gearhart voter. Sorry. Would like to be. :)
 3. I would want police station to remain downtown.
 4. Not without seeing a proposal.
 7. I think rumors/gossip would be lessened or at least less toxic if the city communicated more frequently better website, msgs from Mayor and City Manager.
- #45 2. Should merge with Seaside.
- #46 We own a house but are residents. Thanks to Oregon and US Administration we are getting taxed and regulated out of business. I think you could build one way cheaper.
- #47 5. Homeowner for 25 years seasonal. Not registered here.
- #48 NO means NO! Stop ramming it down our throats. Merge it with Seaside if you think the current one is inadequate. The tax base is too small for grandiose plans! Is this someone's pet project? Perhaps to feather the nest of a relative?
- #49 4.?
- #50 3. It would be nice to keep it downtown space issue (property, size). 7. Somewhat!
- #51 3. It really doesn't matter to me where you put it.
- #52 2. Property owner not a resident. Could not vote.
- #53 About what? Situation above! Details and reasoning vague.
- #54 Statement of Concern: I don't and never have voted in Gearhart - but am very interested in the future of Gearhart - I joined the Gearhart Homeowner's years ago, and am a tax payer like everyone - I feel my voice should be heard - so I answered this survey, and my feelings should be considered. 2. Not a resident - but a long time property owner - since 1948...in the palisades! 3. To improve and add on to what is now operational. 4. But would support a well determined upgrade to both facilities. 5. Not a voter in Clatsop County. 6. ? don't know.

- Being that I have owned property in Gearhart for 35+ years, AND the fact that our fire department is volunteer, I've benefitted for 35+ years from fire dept. when I needed them it's my civic duty NOW to fiscally contribute to their needs! EVEN if it affects my property taxes a small price to pay over the years for their service! ALL costs increase over time! No one can expect their costs/expenses to stay the same! If the net impact on my bottom line is \$100-200.00 per year a small price to pay to support my town! 3. On HIGHWAY please! 4. 1 facility for both police & fire!
- #56 3. Current location. 4. \$5-7 million. 7. or Maybe There seems to be a lot of "spin" from City Manager.
- #57 2. Voted yes

#55

- #58 3. & 4. Depends on proposal. 5. Registered in a different county. 7. Mostly.
- #59 2. Voted yes. 3. (OVER) 1) I thought, downtown was in the tsunami zone. 2) Had we rebuilt, where the fire station is currently, it would have been extremely expensive. 3) Gearhart could not get bonding, for that location. 4) Where would you store the fire trucks & all the needed equipment? b) Where would the firemen & women go to prepare for a fire and have their equipment stored in a dry & safe place? c) Heated tents were looked into, they were expensive and finding property with water & electricity was hard.
- #60 1. Do not know. 2. Redundant. 3. & 4. If this would support the community's needs. 6. Not sure. 7. Have not been aware of any current updates of community information.
- #61 2. Voted yes. 7. But you need to be more simplistic, explicit, repetitive to finally convince some people.
- #62 4. Maybe 6. Probably not.
- #63 First vote taxpayers are sure its too exorbitant. Second vote cuts are made- voters accept it. Result voters are pleased they did the right thing- facility cuts make it less than it should be for the employees & its usefulness. (Example Seaside Middle-High School!) 2. Voted yes. 4. The fire/police station was defeated why add to the project? One by one.
- #64 Time to start council meetings in person.
- #65 I do not get to vote!
- #66 1. Add on and remodel the present one. 5. Non-resident, can't vote.
- #67 2.3.4. N/A
- #68 3. & 4. Maybe
- #69 2. I could not vote, but supported the issue. 5. Not registered in Gearhart.
- #70 1. Absolutely!! 4. Not sure about city hall. 5. I don't live full time in Gearhart.
- #71 5. I am not a citizen of Gearhart. Do not live there just own property.
- #72 1. Out of the flood zone. 3. Foolish building in flood zone.
- #733. Maybe, not my first choice for location; I think putting a ton of money into a place that could get flooded is pretty foolhardy. 7. Education of homeowners regarding city ordinances is sorely lacking.
- #74 3. Due to downtown tsunami potentials bond would be ok. 6. Maybe. 7. Unsure.
- #75 2. Not interested in Highlands Lane
- #76 1. But at a lower cost. 3. Yes, depends on price. 4. Yes, but it depends on the cost. 6. No I don't zoom.
- #772. Voted yes. 7. However, your message should have been for a new public service building NOT all fire station.
- #78 7. Could be better.
- #79 2. N/A voted yes
- #80 1. But the existing building could be upgraded. 3. If not as extravagant and if also paid for by those who live outside Gearhart but are provided the services. 4. If in same location as police/fire station. 6. Due to illness.
- #81 4. Where? 6. Possibly
- #82 2. I voted yes but cost was high. 4. Yes or No Cost
- #83 3. Depends on where
- #84 3. Depends on cost, plan
- #85 Bill paid online. 2. N/A 6. Maybe

- #86 3. In town. 6. ?
- #87 7. Yes & No
- #88 7. Provide some transparency on the cost. Why so much? Just curious as to what Banks paid for their new fire station.
- #89 2. Voted yes. 3. Would need to see plans most likely.
- #90 3. If it wasn't exorbitant. 4. If it was well though out & had a structured budget. 6. As long as it was civil & the Gearhart Naysayers group was "contained". 7. As long as you don't use social media as the main flow of information.
- #91 4. Depends on cost.
- #92 2. I did not vote no.
- #933. We would if that was the only option. 4. Not sure willing to listen probably. 7. Maybe more info on govt. requirements for fire station. People don't seem to realize how many & what they are.
- #94 Mayor Smith, I believe you are aware there is little to no respect or faith in the Gearhart City Council; after 6 years of epic failure on fire/police/city hall issue/bond. After attending June and July Council meetings; I only saw and heard power, privilege, utopianism, authoritarianism, and you don't matter because we represent and speak for you. I don't believe that city government is open to input or suggestion; because it would not be your plan. I do hope you read this response to your survey. 1) I do not feel Gearhart needs a new fire station or police station. I feel Gearhart could use improvements to fire station and police station. 2) I voted no on May bond issue. There was no set plan for land; building; or current/ongoing function of fire and police while construction would have happened. The cost was outrageous; like a blank check for no set plan. No matter what location is chosen 80% of Gearhart would be wiped out by a moderate tsunami. Choosing a location that has no current access only adds to costs. The May 2022 bond looked like an exclusive privileged survival shed; that the public could not make it to in a true tsunami situation. 3) I would not support and bond that is combination fire/police. 4) Refer to answer #3. 5) I do plan to vote in November 2022. 6) I would participate in a physical town hall meeting. This "zoom" stuff shows lack of trust and faith in the public by our representatives. 7) I don't believe the City does an effective job of informing its citizens. I believe this to be intentional; because an ignorant public allows you to pass whatever you would like. I recommend fire station improvements at current location. As an alternative, just off Highway 101 at Hillia Lane there are some sizable vacant lots to build. I view the insult to injury, not discussing the ODOT plan for the highway. Upon its completion, summer traffic will back up from Highway 26/101 junction to Surf Pines; possibly West Lake. In following this project; ODOT has no/zero intentions of making changes to their plan. The other thing to note, on this project, is the sidewalks from Seaside to Delray. These will become the new freeway of the homeless into Gearhart. For a police department that wrote one MIP ticket for the week; including the 4th of July 2022; this might/will be overwhelming. I hope you can bring our community back together with sacrifice, integrity, and leadership. Most sincerely, _____ Clatsop County Native, Gearhart Resident since June 2019.
- #95 2. Non permanent resident. 5. Non resident.
- #96 3. if not too expensive/grand. 4. most likely not. 7. Too few open in person meetings where one can ask questions.
- #97 *We are not on Facebook nor do we belong to Gearhart Association. Is a quarterly newsletter feasible in our water bill? Thank you for asking.
- #983. In park yes. Current site, absolutely, no This question is too vague. 6. Feels unsafe & toxic. 7. Adequate yes, but not good enough or we'd have a new fire station.
- #99 6. Maybe depending on date.

#100

2. No comment: secret ballots are still a valid tool. 3. OR in Lesley Miller Park OR close to Marion Ave between tennis courts & park- build there. City already owns the land for a new fire station. Let's be smart and use it. 7. (No) on details of last proposal. Land swap w/ Cottages info was not easy to uncover. This deal died w/ bond measure, right? Thank you.

- #101 Not Full Time Residents. 2. Need another access to downtown besides 101. 3. But higher elevation than previous station. 5. Not registered in Clatsop Co.
- #102 2. Voted Yes
- #103 4. & 6. Maybe
- #104 4. Depends on where. 7. Altho' I applaud the personal visit.
- #105 1. Absolutely! 2. We voted YES on the May Bond. 3./4./6./7. See attached. 08/11/2022 Mayor Smith's Survey, July 2022 3) Would you support a bond for a fire police/station downtown? No, if it meant the site selected would be in the current location. We would, however, support the Leslie Miller Park site. That, if thoughtfully and beautifully done, could be a wonderful asset for the community with a 21st century fire station/police station/resiliency center and command post with a beautiful park on the west side of the facility. 4) Would you support a bond for a fire/police station AND new city hall? No if fire and police station were built in current location. We will not be supporting any first responder facility in the current location. We would support a new city hall being built in the current location. 6) Would you participate in a Town Hall Meeting? Only if Zoom was offered. And only if the meeting had an outside moderator and had the full range of experts presenting data and facts, in addition to information from city officials. 7) Do you feel the city does an adequate job of informing the community? There is ample information of all city goings on on the city website and blog. The city website is a little difficult to navigate sometimes, trying to find where things are. It would be helpful if the city considered updating the website, making it more user friendly and more dynamic. And perhaps finding a more comprehensive way to get the word out so that all property owners or interested parties use the website more to obtain factual information regarding city matters. What about having a public information officer? Our observation is that many residents and non-residents alike either don't know about the city website/blog information source or just don't access it. Or when they access it, they don't know where to find what they're looking for.
- #106 4. Not Sure (about City Hall part). 6. ? 7. Adequate

#107 COMMENTS TO SURVEY QUESTIONS 1. Yes, of a small to moderate size. Appropriate to the size of Gearhart and the actual number of fires responded to each year. Gearhart's population is leaning towards Senior Citizens. An increased MEDIX presence is needed. Compare actual fires to EMS responses. Build a plan accordingly. 2. We voted NO! Cost was prohibitive and the City expected the taxpayers to foot the ENTIRE bill. The City should have the majority of the cost paid for through grants. Taxpayers should be responsible for LESS than \$5,000,000. The new building should be built at its CURRENT location. The entire project was way to HUGE for our actual needs, keep things, once again, in perspective for our actual needs. 3. Yes. The new fire station should be built at its current location. 4. No. Our current City Hall is more than adequate. Perhaps if City Council meetings were once again held there instead of by Zoom, it could be a POSSIBILITY. 5. YES, we will vote. 6. YES, they are most necessary, then, if our City Council is afraid of having public Council meetings, this question is really a JOKE... 7. NO! The information put out by our City is misleading to the point of being almost totally dishonest with taxpayers. Example, Dana putting out that if we don't get a new fire station our fire insurance premiums will sky rocket...she sited an example of some person in high authority on the state board making this decision. A resident checked with the State of Oregon Insurance Commission, not only had their Underwriters never heard of someone with this title, I'm sorry, forgot what it was, and could not find the posting, it was on the Gearhart Fire FB page, of which we are not members...a screen shot was posted on another site. Gearhart insurance rates are combined with Seaside's!!! It makes no difference if we have a new fire station. FEAR MONGERING and spreading false information. And now, she's on City Council...Brent Warren telling taxpayers to "tighten our belts", all for Chad's ego. You're going to have to start dealing with reality if you want taxpayers to vote in a new fire station.

- #108 4. maybe
- #109 1. show the evidence 3. & 4. possibly
- #110 1. Not sure haven't seen a structural engineering report or OSHA evaluation. 3. & 4. Depending on size, scope and cost. 6. Yes, please! When is the first one scheduled? 7. Lots of information that says nothing. I want educated specialist input not just, "believe us because we say it."
- #111 Fire Station should be in the park

- #112 7. Sort of. It could be better.
- #113 1. Just update the one now. 3. & 4. Within reason.
- #114 4. or Maybe? Has the City thought about buying the grocery store across the street? Putting in cubicles for City employees etc and using the entire land the fire station and current city hall is sitting on for a new fire station location?
- #115 2. voted yes
- #116 3. don't know. 4. depends on plan & costs
- #117 1. Absolutely! 5. Not registered in Gearhart. 7. Sort of. Either too much info or too little info has been supplied.
- #118 1. just an update. 3. maybe
- #119 5. can't! second home
- #120 3. same location
- #121 4.??
- #122 1. & 3. Fire station only
- #123 4. Depends on cost.
- #124 1. & 3. not police (crossed out)
- #125 3. & 4. Depending on cost.
- #126 5. 2nd home for us so can't vote
- #127
 2. I own property, but I'm not a resident. Would have voted no because of all 3 reasons. Why don't you expand the present location. Tear it down & start over. No need to move everything out of downtown! 4. Don't get greedy.
- #128 6. Via zoom & written comments
- #129 We are non-residents of the State of Oregon and, even though property owners, unable to vote on this matter. 2. N/A 7. ?
- #130 Why not revisit the park at west end of Pacific Way! Perfect! 3. unable to vote
- #131 Is there an online version of this survey? Just in mail comes w/ assumptions that add bias: -people who will walk in or pay postage; age/preferences for paper and write-in responses. Said another way, you'll get an age bias by just mailing. 2. Cost too high, Too Exorbitant not "right-sized" for our community, Location not secured (owned). 3. Maybe, depending on scope/cost. 4. Maybe, again, depending on cost. 7. In most cases. Greater use of internet accessible docs, news, surveys, etc. could improve communication.
- #132 2. See above (not in need of a new fire station). 3. Depends. 6. Maybe. 7. Not on all issues.
- #133 3. To improve, not new.
- #134 3. -depends entirely on price; -also will require ignoring or changing tsunami overlay zone which will be challenged, in my opinion. 7. Web site needs to be updated by a professional (this is what I hear from others).
- #135 2. N/A. Yes vote- Leslie Miller park, No vote- Gearhart school site too low. 6. ?
- #136 crossed out "police" station
- #137 3. depending on cost & realistic for a volunteer F.S. Priority 1- stop contractors from building and destroying our open spaces (as they did on Meadow off N. Cottage between 10th & Gearhart Loop). Priority 2- Keep Gearhart the small, charming the little Mayberry town we cherish. Priority 3- Remodel the current firehouse IN town, keep it small. Priority 4- Add more memory benches. Priority 5. Reclaim our own zip code.
- #138 1. Not sure I don't know the reasons they need it. 3. If reasonable. 7. ?
- #139 Dear Mayor Smith- Thank you for your common sense approach in this survey and for your kind common sense remarks in introducing the bond in the latest Seaside Signal. The City of Gearhart is lucky to have you at the helm! 4. Is a new city hall needed? Have not heard that before. 5. I am a non-resident homeowner so I can't, but I would if I could! 7. I feel the website needs to be much simpler in informing the public so they actually read it by incorporating bolder print and bullet points- a cleaned up format. Thank you.
- #140 3. Has to be OUT of tsunami zone.

- #141 2. N/A 7. Excellent informing
- #142 Mayor Dips**t I don't want Mayor spending to build a personal taj mahal. What the govt. can build whatever they want but private business suck! Revitalize a dying town.
- #143 4. maybe
- #144
 1. at the same location. 4. at new location or part of fire/police? not enough info. 5. of course. 6. and I have.
 7. Absolutely not! Information provided by City Manager & Council is not accurate and is misleading.
- #1452. Nonresident home owner. Rebuild at current site -consider using new school for community meetings case for new city hall/police should be separate from fire.
- #146 3. small for a reasonable facility and reasonable \$ amount. 4. not sure, not enough info yet. I hope you will talk/listen to citizens regarding the horrible land swap building project, AS WELL! Terrible for our environment and water situation.
- #147 2. voted yes. 4. If it's in the spots they are in now. I don't want the only soccer filed we have turned in to a fire department/city hall. Please save the park on Pacific Way & Marion!!! It is beautiful ocean front land or put the new fire/city hall by the Trail's End field or on Hwy 101. 7. The emails have been great thank you!
- #148 4. DK 7. blog
- #149 2. voted yes. 4. maybe.
- #1502. voted yes. 3. needs to be on high ground. 4. -City Hall should remain where it is. -Fire/Police station needs to be on high ground.
- #151 3. But I prefer the site off Highland Rd. 4. Not at same location.
- #152 3. ? DT is restricted by an ordinance put in place in 2019??
- #153 3. new location. 4. outside of inundation zone. 5. registered in WA
- #154
 1. Probably- existing location preferred. 2. Did not vote- not a full time resident but- here's the feedback. 5.
 Didn't think we can. 6. If in town. 7. Yes if one is signed up to get emails. If this wasn't the case, probably not enough information.
- #155 How about asking how much \$\$ would be reasonable for OUR size town. We think this would have been a valuable question to ask not just throwing out a new \$ amount on the next bond...
- #156 2. & 5. N/A. We have owned homes in Gearhart for 30 years but are not allowed to vote because our primary home is in Portland! This is such an important issue. So disappointing we cannot vote here. We are here 40-60% of the time, however. Would be good to know what ALL homeowners think since it impacts our property taxes!!
- #157 NOTE: Fire training facility should be a combined effort from all Clatsop fire stations through grant money & FEMA money & other grants & Kate Brown COVID\$. And every new facility should be an evacuation site as well! ALSO: Lottery money, get beautification money! Make it Beautiful & Artistic.
- #158 3. Maybe 4. Maybe, would love to see a well thought out plan. 6. Probably not, but maybe.
- #1592. Voted Yes. 3. Maybe prefer higher ground. 4. (same as household member) Maybe, would love to see a well thought out plan. 6. (same as household member) Probably not, but maybe.
- #160 3. Depends on cost. 7. City Hall is too partisan should represent all Citizens, especially staff.
- #161 2. N/A 5. (vote) in Portland
- #162 2. N/A
- #163 3. Probably NOT but not sure! Depends!
- #164 3. & 4. Within Reason 7. ?
- #165 1. ? 6. ?
- #166 I don't live in the Gearhart limits so I'm not filling in the survey.

- #167 3. If it was not in the tsunami inundation zone I would vote yes. i.e. the park. 6. If it was held remotely I have attended many functions that have been hijacked by bullies spreading misinformation which have proved futile. Also- pandemic concerns. 7. For those who want to be informed. Unfortunately many do not. *Please note: I am concerned about the tracking of this survey as well as the security- this has the potential for manipulation which voids validity.
- #168 4. No more than \$7 million.
- #169 4. Maybe
- #170 2. Way over the top. 6. If I am in the country.
- #171
 1. Rehab buildings, they are in. 3. & 4. Maybe depending on cost. 6. If they are not at night. I have night blindness & cannot drive. 7. Not everyone is on internet, send notice in water bill.
- #172 4. Not City Hall.
- #173 2. N/A
- #174 6. If there were healthy safeguards. 7. Room for improvement!
- #175 4. Not sure about City Hall maybe
- #176 4. ? (next to Yes)
- #177 7. Do you mean manipulating?? The city does NOT listen to community. We said NO to police/fire & City Hall!
- #178 4. Not sure, maybe with more info. 7. But look forward to "in person" meetings/town hall format.
- #179 1. 3. 4. Not police station or city hall.
- #180 6. Not sure.
- #181 1. Don't know the facts yet. 4. Not in a rural location. 6. Via Zoom.
- #182 7. No opinion
- #183 1. 3. 4. No police station. 1. The Police can move into the (fire) Chief's office once the new station is built.
- #1842. Really bad location. Need it in "our town". It's a walkable access & community hub in Gearhart. Keeping same location is much cheaper, lower taxing. 3. But only downtown. 7. It doesn't listen to the community.
- #185 re #6: Been there, done that and it failed badly.

	Q1 - Need	Q2 - No? Why	Q3 - Downtown?	Q4 - City Hall?	Q5 - Vote?	Q6 - Town Hall?	Q7 - Adequate?	<u>Q8 - Other</u>
#1				4. Not sure. I feel the			7. At times, I do realize it's all online. Some	
				current bldg could be			residents aren't used to that way of	
				updated.			thinking.	
#2						T		
		2. The location is too far						
		from the center of						
		town. Hertig Station is						
10.73		only a few miles farther.	l			45	7. We get information, but not input.	
#3	1 2 11							
	1. Possibly,				5. Can't vote on local issues - non-full-time			
	depends on location and cost.	2. Could not vote.		52 March 1949 - 197 - 20 - 194	Annuel 23 Contraction and a contract of the co	6. Depends on when.	7. Thank you!	
#4	Ibcation and cost.	z. coulu not vote.		4. II ALL HOUSED LOBELHET.	resident (for now).	o. Depends on when.		
11.1							7. NOTE: This is the wrong question. The	
							correct question is Does City government	
							do an adequate job of listening to Gearhart	
							residents & accepting the will of the	
							people?	
#5								
		2. (crossed out entirely)	3. Maybe.					
#6								
	1. Unclear there							
	has been no needs	10004000 and 1000						
	Concernenced Second percent representation	2. *Location - Response		4. IF located on Pacific Way				
	for city.	time is critical		@ current location only.		7	0	
#7		2 Not a soci-						
		2. Not a resident - own			5. Not a resident.			
#8		a Gearhart business.			o. Not a resident.		7. ?	
#o #9							(2.4	
11.5								[circled "fire" only on every question indicating no support
			3. ? How about on 101?					for police included in new station]
#10								
							7. ? A qualified yes is if Chief Bowman's	
							blogs, which often can be interpreted as	
							defamatory, put COG at risk of litigation.	
					TO 525 15 199		There are many in the community with	
					5. Not in Clatsop		deep pockets whom it is advisable to tread	
					County.		carefully with.	
#11				4. In downtown - current				
#1.2	1 0 2 Eire			location.		6. Maybe.	7. City must avoid a demonstration of Courts	
#12	1. & 3. Fire station		1 9.2 Eiro station only				7. City must provide documentation of gun	
#13	only.		 & 3. Fire station only. Maybe. 				threat.	
#15 #14		2. Too far out North w/	J. HIGYDE.					
11 L T		station nearby.						
#15							1	
		2. We are in Highlands ,		3. & 4. Maybe but see				
		don't get to vote!	3. & 4. Maybe but see above.	naliteration international and a second statements	5. But see above.	L	7. No opinion.	
#16			3. Maybe.			6. Maybe.		
	1. H*** NO!						7. H*** NO. JOKE?	
#18						6. or Maybe?		
#19				3. & 4. Does this mean				
				same location? (NO WAY)				
				High ground up by the Golf				
#20	1 Don't know		ground up by the Golf Course!!!	Lourse!!!				
#20	 Don't know - we defer to the 							
	deter to the judgement of the				5. We're not			
	City Council.				registered voters.			
#21	ony council:				i abistal cu (Vters.			
		2. Can't vote because I						
		own a vacation home.			5. Can't.	6. & 7. ?	6. & 7. ?	
	//				territoria e anticipation de la construcción de		terror and the	

(sort) Comments by Question

#22	ſ	2. We can't vote as			ſ			
πZZ		Gearhart isn't our						
		primary residence. But		4. Not sure, leaning no				
		51		6 (26)	CANIT and shows			
477	<u>.</u>	if we did.		unless a need makes sense.	5. CAN 1, see above.	0		
#23 #24	2			4. or Maγbe	9			
<i>π</i> ∠4								
								The scale of what was proposed was ill fated from the start.
			3. If it were limited to modest					Use this as an opportunity to make the existing facility
			expansion of existing facilities.			6. Perhaps.		
#2 5			expansion of existing facilities.		2	6. Pemaps.	7. H ⁺⁺⁺ NO!	more aesthetically aligned with our community.
#25 #26		,				2	7. H++++ NO	
#26								
427				But not at the same time.	E Mar 0 Ma 222			
#27					5. Yes & No ???			
#28			3. After 1st water bond's	4. After the water bonds				
			retired.	are retired.		e		
#29	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	3. If reasonable.	4. Depends on costs, etc.				
#30								
		2. It's difficult to add						
	and the of the other	cost to the residents at						
	1. Absolutely	a time of covid					7. No - the city is not being transparent and	
	Please thank the	recovery, recession,					the fighting and lack of civility leads to lack	
	volunteers for	inflation, and					of trust on both sides. It was very difficult	
	serving and this is	uncertainty. (Location)					to weed through the truth this election and	
		Can't it be retrofit		4. Not sure - need to			therefore had no where to go but to vote	
	how I value them.	closer to town?	If it had transparency.	understand more.			"No".	
#31		2. What is the						
		difference?	3. Depends on options.					
#32								
								*He needs to reserve public comment on
								annexation/development plans.
#33				4. Not sure. Maybe.		5		
#34					5. Can't, would if I			
111-11		2. Not able to vote.			could.			Not in city limits.
#35	\$\$			4. Maybe.				
#36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0	7. Without open council meetings, you	
			3. At a reasonable cost like	4. Yes fire - no police & city			appear to be making decisions without	
			5,000,000.	hall.			public input.	
#37	Č		3. & 4. Not until water	3. & 4. Not until water				
			bond/bonds paid in full.	bond/bonds paid in full.				
#38	1. ?		° .					
#39							SOMETIMES	
#40		2. voted yes.	-		<u></u>			
#41			3. We should invest in					
			downtown!					
#42	8							
n 12								Thanks to Chad Sweet who is an outstanding City Manager
								and Leader.
#43	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3. & 4. Depending on the	3. & 4. Depending on the	2			
11 0		2. Not my residence.	amount.	amount.				
# 44		2. NOT THE TESTUENCE.			Č.			
#44								
	1. Lucardal Blue to							
	1. I would like to						7 1431	
	see a proposal for a						7. think rumors/gossip would be lessened	
	fire/emergency						or at least less toxic if the city	
		2. Not a Gearhart voter.					communicated more frequently - better	
		Sorry. Would like to be.	3. I would want police station to				website, msgs from Mayor and City	
	than last proposal.	:}	remain downtown.	proposal.	-		Manager.	
# 45		2. Should merge with						
		Seaside.						

No.							
#46							
							We sum a bauga but are residents. Thenks to Oregon and
							We own a house but are residents. Thanks to Oregon and
							US Administration we are getting taxed and regulated out
#47					-		of business. I think you could build one way cheaper.
#47				5. Homeowner for 25			
				years - seasonal. Not			
				registered here.			
#48				registereu nere.			
#40							
							NO means NO! Stop ramming it down our throats. Merge it
							with Seaside if you think the current one is inadequate. The
							tax base is too small for grandiose plans! Is this someone's
#49			4. ?		2		pet project? Perhaps to feather the nest of a relative?
#49		3. It would be nice to keep it					
		downtown - space issue					
		(property, size).				7. Somewhat!	
#51		3. It really doesn't matter to me				7. Somewide	
#J1		where you put it.					
#52	2. Property owner - not	Where you put it.			5	2	
#JZ	a resident. Could not						
	vote.						
#53	voic.				1		About what? Situation above! - Details and reasoning
#55							Vague.
#54							rague.
<i>n5</i> -							
							Statement of Concern: I don't and neer have voted in
							Gearhart - but am very interested in the future of Gearhart -
	2. Not a resident - but a						I joined the Gearhart Homeowner's years ago, and am a tax
	daaraad arees aaraad - rooseaa		4. But would support a well				payer like everyone - I feel my voice should be heard - so I
	long time property			E. Not a votor in			
		and mail on a surrant part of the set of the set of the	determined upgrade to	5. Not a voter in	C D I white		answered this survey, and my feelings should be
#55	the palisades!	what is now operational.	both facilities.	Clatsop County.	6. ? don't know.	1	considered.
#55							
							Being that I have owned property in Gearhart for 35+ years,
							AND the fact that our fire department is volunteer, I've
							benefitted for 35+ years from fire dept. when I needed
							them - it's my civic duty NOW to fiscally contribute to their
							needs! EVEN if it affects my property taxes - a small price to
							pay over the years for their service! ALL costs increase over
							time! No one can expect their costs/expenses to stay the
			4. 1 facility for both police				same! If the net impact on my bottom line is \$100-200.00
			& fire!				per year - a small price to pay to support my town!
#56			10			7. or Maybe - There seems to be a lot of	
		3. Current location.	4. \$5-7 million.			"spin" from City Manager.	
#57	2. Voted yes						
#58			3. & 4. Depends on	5. Registered in a			
		3. & 4. Depends on proposal.	proposal.	different county.		7. Mostly.	

	(
#59								
			3. (OVER) 1) I thought,					
			downtown was in the tsunami					
			zone. 2) Had we rebuilt, where					
			the fire station is currently, it					
			would have been extremely					
			expensive. 3) Gearhart could					
			The second s					
			not get bonding, for that					
			location. 4) Where would you					
			store the fire trucks & all the					
			needed equipment? b) Where					
			would the firemen & women go					
			to prepare for a fire and have					
			their equipment stored in a dry					
			& safe place? c) Heated tents					
			were looked into, they were					
			expensive and finding property					
			with water & electricity was					
		2. Voted yes.	hard.					
#60								
			3. & 4. If this would support the	3 & 4 If this would support			7. Have not been aware of any current	
1	1. Do not know.	2. Redundant.				6 Noteuro		
	1. DO NOL KNOW.	Z. Redundant.	community's needs.	the community's needs.	0	6. Not sure.	updates of community information.	
#61							7. But you need to be more simplistic,	
							explicit, repetitive to finally convince some	
		Voted yes.					people.	
#62				4. Maγbe		6. Probably not.		
#63								
								First state to serve and serve its to serve hits and for and
								First vote - taxpayers are sure its too exorbitant. Second
								vote - cuts are made- voters accept it. Result - voters are
				4. The fire/police station				pleased they did the right thing-facility cuts make it less
				was defeated why add to				than it should be for the employees & its usefulness.
		2. Voted yes.		the project? One by one.				(Example - Seaside Middle-High School!)
#64								,,
#0 4								Time to start council mentings in moreon
					8			Time to start council meetings in person.
#65								do not get to vote!
#66	1. Add on and							
	remodel the				5. Non-resident, can't			
	present one.				vote.			
#67		2. 3. 4. N/A	2. 3. 4. N/A	2. 3. 4. N/A				
#68		í í		3. & 4. Maybe				
#69							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
#03		2 Loguid not ust a but			E Not registered in			
1		2. could not vote, but			5. Not registered in			
01725784		supported the issue.			Gearhart.			
#70	25 Jak to 10 MM			20 2200 an 20 an each	5. I don't live full time			
	1. Absolutely!!			Not sure about city hall.	in Gearhart.			
#71					5. I am not a citizen of			
					Gearhart. Do not live			
1					there just own			
1								
470	1.0				property.	7		
#72	1. Out of the flood							
	zone.		3. Foolish building in flood zone.					
#73			3. Maybe, not my first choice					
1			for location; I think putting a					
1			ton of money into a place that					
1			could get flooded is pretty				7. Education of homeowners regarding city	
1			foolhardy.				ordinances is sorely lacking.	
#74			roonaruy.				oramatices is solely idekling.	
#7 4								
1			3. Due to downtown tsunami			50. 500 g	2022/2020	
I		1	potentials bond would be ok.			6. Maybe.	7. Unsure.	

#75	1	2. Not interested in			(
#/3		Highlands Lane						
#76	1. But at a lower	Ingiliarius Larie		4. Yes, but it depends on				
#70	cost.		3. Yes, depends on price.	the cost.		6. No - I don't zoom.		
#77	LOSL.		3. Tes, depends on price.	the cost.	9	0. NO - LUOILE 20011.	7. However, your message should have	
#//							1 10 17 M stars VISC stars stars and stars	
		2. 1/					been for a new public service building NOT	
470	1	2. Voted yes.					all fire station.	
#78 #79		2. 11/1					7. Could be better.	
	2	2. N/A voted yes	2 16		9	/		
#80	1 Database stations		3. If not as extravagant and if					
	1. But the existing		also paid for by those who live	4 1630				
	building could be		outside Gearhart but are	4. If in same location as		6. Due to illness.		
401	upgraded.		provided the services.	police/fire station.				
#81		A TOTAL CONTRACTOR		4. Where?		6. Possibly		
#82		2. I voted yes but cost		A Vas an Na Cast				
400		was high.	2.5.1.1	4. Yes or No - Cost				
#83	4		3. Depends on where				s	
#84 #85			3. Depends on cost, plan			C. Maxino	-	Dill naid online
#85 #86		2. N/A	2 In tourn		2	6. Maybe	2	Bill paid online.
#86			3. In town.			6. ?	7 Voc 9 No	
#87							7. Yes & No	
#88							7 Drouido como transmenente a the	
							7. Provide some transparency on the cost.	
							Why so much? Just curious as to what	
110.0					-		Banks paid for their new fire station.	
#89		A 14 1	3. Would need to see plans -					
400		2. Voted yes.	most likely.					
#90								
						6. As long as it was civil &		
				4. If it was well though out		the Gearhart Naysayers	7. As long as you don't use social media as	
0.20	<i>v</i>		3. If it wasn't exorbitant.	& had a structured budget.		group was "contained".	the main flow of information.	
#91	2			4. Depends on cost.				
#92		2. I did not vote no.						
#93								
							7. Maybe more info on govt. requirements	
			3. We would if that was the only				for fire station. People don't seem to	
			option. 4	probably.		-	realize how many & what they are.	
# 94								
		2) voted no on May						Mayor Smith, I believe you are aware there is little to no
		bond issue. There was						respect or faith in the Gearhart City Council; after 6 years of
		no set plan for land;						epic failure on fire/police/city hall issue/bond. After
		building; or						attending June and July Council meetings; I only saw and
		current/ongoing						heard power, privilege, utopianism, authoritarianism, and
		function of fire and						you don't matter because we represent and speak for you. I
		police while						don't believe that city government is open to input or
		construction would						suggestion; because it would not be your plan. I do hope
		have happened. The						you read this response to your survey. I recommend fire
		cost was outrageous;						station improvements at current location. As an alternative,
		like a blank check for no						just off Highway 101 at Hillia Lane there are some sizable
		set plan. No matter						vacant lots to build. I view the insult to injury, not
		what location is chosen						discussing the ODOT plan for the highway. Upon its
		80% of Gearhart would						completion, summer traffic will back up from Highway
		be wiped out by a						26/101 junction to Surf Pines; possibly West Lake. In
		moderate tsunami.						following this project; ODOT has no/zero intentions of
		Choosing a location that						making changes to their plan. The other thing to note, on
	1) do not feel	has no current access						this project, is the sidewalks from Seaside to Delray. These
	Gearhart needs a	only adds to costs. The						will become the new freeway of the homeless into
		May 2022 bond looked				6) I would participate in a		Gearhart. For a police department that wrote one MIP
	police station. I feel	SUPPORT - THE PROPERTY STATES AND A STATES				physical town hall meeting.	7) I don't helieve the City does an effective	ticket for the week; including the 4th of July 2022; this
	 Series of the second s second second s	privileged survival shed;						might/will be overwhelming. I hope you can bring our
	improvements to					of trust and faith in the		5
		that the public could	3) I would not support and bond		5) I do plan to vote in	public by our	be intentional; because an ignorant public allows you to pass whatever you would	community back together with sacrifice, integrity, and leadership. Most sincerely, Clatsop County
	fire station and police station.	tsunami situation.	and a second rate of the permitted and the second	- DI - 19	and the intervent of the second second second second second		에 있는 사람은 이 이번 이상, 이 가슴을 가지 않는 것은 것이 있었다. 이 가슴은 것은 것은 것은 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이다. 이 같은	and the second
			that is combination fire/police.	4) Refer to answer #3.	November 2022.	representatives.	like.	Native, Gearhart Resident since June 2019.

40.5	1	2 N		1		1		1
#95		2. Non permanent						
		resident.			5. Non resident.			
#96								
							7. Too few open in person meetings where	
			3. if not too expensive/grand.	4. most likely not.			one can ask questions.	
#97								
-0-02047								*We are not on Facebook nor do we belong to Gearhart
								Association. Is a quarterly newsletter feasible in our water
								bill? Thank you for asking.
#98			3. In park - yes. Current site,			2		
#30			and the second s				7. 4 de martes a construction of a second second second	
			absolutely, no This question is				7. Adequate - yes, but not good enough or	
			too vague.			6. Feels unsafe & toxic.	we'd have a new fire station.	
#99						6. Maybe depending on		
						date.		
#100	·							
			3. OR in Lesley Miller Park OR					
			close to Marion Ave between					
			tennis courts & park- build				7. (No) on details of last proposal. Land	
		2. No comment: secret	there. City already owns the				swap w/ Cottages info was not easy to	
			an men dina ang sa mangina ang mangina ang					
		ballots are still a valid	land for a new fire station. Let's				uncover. This deal died w/ bond measure,	
		tool.	be smart and use it.				right? Thank you.	
#101		2. Need another access	1255312 666 6A 10 1253 6A		- 113 DAS DZ 10407			
		to downtown besides	3. But higher elevation than		5. Not registered in			(1971) B)
		101.	previous station.		Clatsop Co.			Not Full Time Residents.
#102		2. Voted Yes				·	-	
#103				4. & 6. Maybe		4. & 6. Maybe		
#104						7		
				4. Depends on where.			7. Altho' applaud the personal visit.	
#105	-							
#105								
							3./4./6./7. See attached. 7) Do you feel the	
							city does an adequate job of informing the	
							community? There is ample information of	
							all city goings on on the city website and	
							A state of the second	
							blog. The city website is a little difficult to	
1			3./4./6./7. See attached. 3)				navigate sometimes, trying to find where	
			Would you support a bond for a				things are. It would be helpful if the city	
			fire police/station downtown?				considered updating the website, making it	
			No, if it meant the site selected				more user friendly and more dynamic. And	
				3./4./6./7. See attached. 4)			perhaps finding a more comprehensive way	
1			location. We would, however,	1 K K 18 K			to get the word out so that all property	
1						2 14 15 17 500		
1			support the Leslie Miller Park	for a fire/police station AND			owners or interested parties use the	
1			1. (2017) 2. 1 ·	new city hall? No if fire and		Would you participate in a	website more to obtain factual information	
			beautifully done, could be a	police station were built in		Town Hall Meeting? Only if	regarding city matters. What about having	
			wonderful asset for the	current location. We will		Zoom was offered. And only	a public information officer? Our	
			community with a 21st century	not be supporting any first		if the meeting had an	observation is that many residents and non-	4
			fire station/police	responder facility in the		outside moderator and had	residents alike either don't know about the	
			station/resiliency center and	current location. We would		the full range of experts	city website/blog information source or	
			command post with a beautiful	support a new city hall			just don't access it. Or when they access it,	
		2. We voted YES on the	·			addition to information	they don't know where to find what they're	
		and the second short first and		being built in the current			an an Alexandra and Alexandra an	
114.05	1. Absolutely	May Bond.	facility.	location.		from city officials.	looking for.	
#106				4. Not Sure (about City Hall		2.5		
				part).		6. ?	7. Adequate	

and the second second	r		1		r			
#107								
							7. NO! The information put out by our City	
							is misleading to the point of being almost	
							totally dishonest with taxpayers. Example,	
							Dana putting out that if we don't get a new	
							fire station our fire insurance premiums	
		2. We voted NO! Cost					will sky rocketshe sited an example of	
		was prohibitive and the					some person in high authority on the state	
		City expected the					board making this decision. A resident	
	1210-2213 YVV0 010-221	taxpayers to foot the					checked with the State of Oregon Insurance	
	moderate size.	ENTIRE bill. The City					Commission, not only had their	
	Substantial States and State						2001 CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	
		should have the					Underwriters never heard of someone with	
		majority of the cost					this title, I'm sorry, forgot what it was, and	
		paid for through grants.					could not find the posting, it was on the	
	number of fires	Taxpayers should be					Gearhart Fire FB page, of which we are not	
	65	responsible for LESS					membersa screen shot was posted on	
	122	than \$5,000,000. The					another site. Gearhart insurance rates are	
1	2	new building should be					combined with Seaside's!!! It makes no	
1	leaning towards	built at its CURRENT					difference if we have a new fire station.	
1		location. The entire		4. No. Our current City Hall			FEAR MONGERING and spreading false	
1	increased MEDIX	project was way to		is more than adequate.			information. And now, she's on City	
1	presence is	HUGE for our actual		Perhaps if City Council		6. YES, they are most	CouncilBrent Warren telling taxpayers to	
	needed. Compare	needs, keep things,		meetings were once again		necessary, then, if our City	"tighten our belts", all for Chad's ego.	
	이 아이에서 아이는 것이 있는 그 아이에 가지 않는 것이야 한 것이 없다.	once again, in	3. Yes. The new fire station	held there instead of by		Council is afraid of having	You're going to have to start dealing with	
	and a subsection of the order of the sector	perspective for our	and a second state of the second s	Zoom, it could be a			reality if you want taxpayers to vote in a	
		actual needs.	location.	POSSIBILITY.	5. YES, we will vote.	question is really a JOKE	new fire station.	COMMENTS TO SURVEY QUESTIONS
#108	,			4. maybe				
#109	1. show the							
	evidence		3. & 4. possibly	3. & 4. possibly				
#110	1. Not sure -		5. 6 1. 1033101	o. c. i. possibility				
<i>π</i> 110	haven't seen a							
	structural							
	engineering report						7. Lots of information that says nothing.	
				2 C A Demonding on size			(a) a substant of the second statement of the second st second statement of the second statement of	
	or OSHA		3. & 4. Depending on size, scope	NET DE CARTA DE LA COMPOSITION DE LA COMPOSITICA		6. Yes, please! When is the	want educated specialist input not just,	
	evaluation.		and cost.	scope and cost.		first one scheduled?	"believe us because we say it."	Case Casetion should be in the words
#111						n-	7 Cast of it sould be better	Fire Station should be in the park
#112	 transition data a structure 	,					7. Sort of. It could be better.	
#113	1. Just update the		2.0.4.116+bis	2 0 4 Mithin				
	one now.		3. & 4. Within reason.	3. & 4. Within reason.				
#114								
								Has the City thought about buying the grocery store across
								the street? Putting in cubicles for City employees etc and
				Pa 2000 Pr 1000				using the entire land the fire station and current city hall is
				4. or Maybe?				sitting on for a new fire station location?
#115		voted yes						
#116								
L			3. don't know.	4. depends on plan & costs		4		
#117					5. Not registered in		7. Sort of. Either too much info or too little	
	1. Absolutely!				Gearhart.		info has been supplied.	
	1. just an update.		3. maybe					
#119								
					5. can't second home			
#120			3. same location					
#121				4. ??				
#122	1. & 3. Fire station							
	only		1. & 3. Fire station only					
#123				4. Depends on cost.				
#124	1. & 3. not police							
	(crossed out)		1. & 3. not police (crossed out)					
#125							14	
			3. & 4. Depending on cost.	3. & 4. Depending on cost.				

#1.20	(1	1	5. 2nd home for us so			1
#126					can't vote			
#127					can i vote			
#127								
		2 Latter music and the deside						
		2. I own property, but I'm not a resident.						
		사람이 같은 사람이 많은 것이 가격을 얻는 것을 다 생각을 잡다. 것을 다 가 없는						
		Would have voted no						
		because of all 3						
		reasons. Why don't you						
		expand the present						
		location. Tear it down &						
		start over. No need to						
		move everything out of						
		downtown!		4. Don't get greedy.				
#128						6. Via zoom & written		
						comments		
#129								
								100401 0001 00 (2001 10 (2002 00
		N-104-104-00					entro da	We are non-residents of the State of Oregon and, even
		2. N/A		-		0	7. ?	though property owners, unable to vote on this matter.
#130			NOTE MARK					Why not revisit the park at west end of Pacific Way!
			3. unable to vote					Perfect!
#131								
1								
		2. Cost too high, Too						Is there an online version of this survey? Just in mail comes
		Exorbitant not "right-						w/ assumptions that add bias: -people who will walk in or
		sized" for our					7. In most cases. Greater use of internet	pay postage; - age/preferences for paper and write-in
		community, Location	3. Maybe, depending on	4. Maybe, again, depending			accessible docs, news, surveys, etc. could	responses. Said another way, you'll get an age bias by just
		not secured (owned).	scope/cost.	on cost.			improve communication.	mailing.
#132		2. See above (not in						
		need of a new fire						
	a	station).	3. Depends.			6. Maybe.	7. Not on all issues.	
#133			3. To improve, not new.					
#134								
			3depends entirely on price; -					
			also will require ignoring or					
			changing tsunami overlay zone				7. Web site needs to be updated by a	
			which will be challenged, in my				professional (this is what I hear from	
			opinion.				others).	
#135							•	Yes vote- Leslie Miller park, No vote- Gearhart school site
		2. N/A.				6. ?		too low.
#136								crossed out "police" station
#137								
								Priority 1- stop contractors from building and destroying
								our open spaces (as they did on Meadow off N. Cottage
								between 10th & Gearhart Loop}. Priority 2- Keep Gearhart
								a Standard Million II. a second second Standard Million State and State and State and State and State and State
								the small, charming the little Mayberry town we cherish. Priority 3- Remodel the current firehouse IN town, keep it
			3. depending on cost & realistic					small. Priority 4- Add more memory benches. Priority 5.
			15. acpending on cost or rediffic			1	1	Reclaim our own zip code.
			for a voluntoor 5 5					
#120			for a volunteer F.S.					Reclam our own zip code.
#138	1 Notsura I don't		for a volunteer F.S.					Reclam our own zip code.
	1. Not sure - I don't		for a volunteer F.S.					
	know the reasons						7.3	
			for a volunteer F.S. 3. If reasonable.				7. ?	
	know the reasons						7. ?	Reclam our own zip code.
	know the reasons							
	know the reasons						7. I feel the website needs to be much	
	know the reasons				5. am a non-resident		7. I feel the website needs to be much simpler in informing the public so they	Dear Mayor Smith- Thank you for your common sense
	know the reasons				homeowner so I		7. I feel the website needs to be much simpler in informing the public so they actually read it by incorporating bolder	Dear Mayor Smith- Thank you for your common sense approach in this survey and for your kind common sense
	know the reasons			4. Is a new city hall needed? Have not heard that before.	homeowner so can't, but would if		7. I feel the website needs to be much simpler in informing the public so they	Dear Mayor Smith- Thank you for your common sense

#140			3. Has to be OUT of tsunami		I			
#140			zone.					
#141		2. N/A				<i>.</i>	7. Excellent informing	
#142	<u> </u>	2	-					
								Mayor Dips**t don't want Mayor spending to build a
								personal taj mahal. What the govt. can build whatever they
								want but private business suck! Revitalize a dying town.
#143	9			4. maybe	1	2		
#144	·	-		. mayne				
AT 11				4. at new location or part of			7. Absolutely not! Information provided by	
	1. at the same			fire/police? not enough			City Manager & Council is not accurate and	
	location.			info.	5. of course.	6. and have.	is misleading.	
#145	iocation.	2. Nonresident home		1110.	5. 61 600136.	o. ana mave.	is marcaung.	
#145		owner. Rebuild at						
		current site -consider						
		using new school for						
		20 mar 20						
		community meetings -						
		case for new city						
		hall/police should be						
44.45		separate from fire.				E.		
#146								
								I hope you will talk/listen to citizens regarding the horrible
			3. small for a reasonable facility					land swap building project, AS WELL! Terrible for our
			and reasonable \$ amount.	yet.				environment and water situation.
#147								
				If it's in the spots they				
				are in now. I don't want the				
				only soccer filed we have				
				turned in to a fire				
				department/city hall.				
				Please save the park on				
				Pacific Way & Marion!!! It is				
				beautifyl ocean front land				
				or put the new fire/city hall				
				by the Trail's End field or on				
		2. voted yes.		Hwy 101.			7. The emails have been great - thank you!	
#148				4. DK		7	7. blog	
#149		2. voted yes.		4. maybe.				
#150		10						
				4City Hall should remain				
				where it isFire/Police				
				station needs to be on high				
		2. voted yes.	3. needs to be on high ground.	ground.				
#151			3. But prefer the site off	R				
			Highland Rd.	4. Not at same location.				
#152			3. ? DT is restricted by an					
arse			ordinance put in place in					
			2019??					
#153	-		and and it is	4. outside of inundation		þ.	·	
<i>a133</i>			3. new location.	zone.	5. registered in WA			
#154	1. Probably-	2. Did not vote- not a		20115	5. registered III wA		7. Yes if one is signed up to get emails. If	
#104	existing location	full time resident but-					this wasn't the case, probably not enough	
	preferred.	here's the feedback.			5. Didn't think we can.	6 If in town		
41.55	preferred.	nere's the reedback.			5. Dian Lunink we can.	o. ii iii town.	information.	
#1 55								
								How about asking how much \$\$ would be reasonable for
								OUR size town. We think this would have been a valuable
I								question to ask - not just throwing out a new \$ amount on
		1	1		1	1	1	the next bond

Course of the Second Second	ſ							
#156 #157		2. & 5. N/A.			2. & 5. N/A.			We have owned homes in Gearhart for 30 years but are not allowed to vote because our primary home is in Portland! This is such an important issue. So disappointing we cannot vote here. We are here 40-60% of the time, however. Would be good to know what ALL homeowners think since it impacts our property taxes!!
								NOTE: Fire training facility should be a combined effort from all Clatsop fire stations through grant money & FEMA money & other grants & Kate Brown COVID\$. And every new facility should be an evacuation site as well! ALSO: Lottery money, get beautification money! Make it Beautiful & Artistic.
#158				4. Maybe, would love to see				
			3. Maybe	a well thought out plan.		6. Probably not, but maybe.		
#159 #160		2. Voted Yes.	3. Maybe - prefer higher ground.	4. (same as household member) Maybe, would love to see a well thought out plan.		6. (same as household member) Probably not, but maybe.		
			3. Depends on cost.				7. City Hall is too partisan - should represent all Citizens, especially staff.	
#161		2. N/A			5. (vote) in Portland			
#162		2. N/A						
#163			3. Probably NOT but - not sure! Depends!					
#164				3. & 4. Within Reason			7. ?	
#165	1. ? 6. ?					1. ? 6. ?		
#166								I don't live in the Gearhart limits so I'm not filling in the survey.
#167			3. If it was not in the tsunami inundation zone I would vote yes. i.e. the park.			6. If it was held remotely - I have attended many functions that have been hijacked by bullies spreading misinformation which have proved futile. Also- pandemic concerns.	7. For those who want to be informed. Unfortunately many do not. *Please note: I am concerned about the tracking of this survey as well as the security- this has the potential for manipulation which voids validity.	
#168				4. No more than \$7 million.				
#169		2.875		4. Maybe				
#170	-	2. Way over the top.	3			6. If I am in the country.	1	ļ
#171	1. Rehab buildings, they are in.		cost.	3. & 4. Maybe depending on cost.		6. If they are not at night. I have night blindness & cannot drive.	7. Not everyone is on internet, send notice in water bill.	
#172		2 11/4		4. Not City Hall.				ļ]
#173 #174		2. N/A				6. If there were healthy safeguards.	7. Room for improvement!	
#175				4. Not sure about City Hall - maybe	6			
#176 #177				4. ? (next to Yes)				
#1//							7. Do you mean manipulating?? The city does NOT listen to community. We said NO to police/fire & City Hall!	

#178				4. Not sure, maybe with			7. But look forward to "in person"	
				more info.			meetings/town hall format.	
	1. 3. 4. Not police station or city hall.		1. 3. 4. Not police station or city hall.	1. 3. 4. Not police station or city hall.				
#180					1	6. Not sure.		
10523.0346.X	1. Don't know the facts yet.			4. Not in a rural location.		6. Via Zoom.		
#182	2						7. No opinion	
	1. 3. 4. No police station. 1. The Police can move into the (fire) Chief's office once the new station is built.		1. 3. 4. No police station.	1. 3. 4. No police station.				
#1 84	Ne It's Co Ge Ioc	Really bad location. ed it in "our town". s a walkable access & mmunity hub in arhart. Keeping same :ation is much eaper, lower taxing.	3. But only downtown.				7. It doesn't listen to the community.	
#185		caper, to their caviting.	St But only donnto init			re #6: Been there, done that	in the contrainty.	
						and it failed badly.		